

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DARYLE HUMPHRIES,

Plaintiff,

v.

P. CURRY,

Defendant.

No. 2:22-cv-0090 KJN P

ORDER


Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se, in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 7, 2023, plaintiff signed a motion requesting a settlement conference.

On December 21, 2022, defendants were granted leave to opt out of the post-screening ADR project. (ECF No. 21.) Thus, it is unclear whether plaintiff's recent filing affects defendants' prior position that a settlement conference would be "an inefficient use of resources" (ECF No. 20 at 3). If defendants also wish to have a settlement conference, defendants may request a settlement conference. But if defendants' position has not changed they are not required to request one.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion [ECF No. 33] is denied without prejudice.

Dated: July 20, 2023

/hump0090.den

  
KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE